

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

Influent Flow and Loading

1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	x	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	x	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	2.1040	x	93	x	8.34	=	1,638
February	1.9721	x	109	x	8.34	=	1,789
March	2.5153	x	82	x	8.34	=	1,720
April	2.2417	x	144	x	8.34	=	2,687
May	2.2247	x	111	x	8.34	=	2,055
June	2.0416	x	94	x	8.34	=	1,595
July	2.1209	x	137	x	8.34	=	2,431
August	2.0467	x	156	x	8.34	=	2,657
September	1.9410	x	142	x	8.34	=	2,304
October	1.8607	x	157	x	8.34	=	2,435
November	1.7665	x	170	x	8.34	=	2,497
December	1.7458	x	146	x	8.34	=	2,126

2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading

2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	x	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	5.5	x	90	=	4.95
		x	100	=	5.5
Design BOD, lbs/day	6500	x	90	=	5850
		x	100	=	6500

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months of Influent	Number of times flow was greater than 90% of	Number of times flow was greater than 100% of	Number of times BOD was greater than 90% of design	Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	0	0
Points per each		2	1	3	2
Exceedances		0	0	0	0
Points		0	0	0	0
Total Number of Points					0

0

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

3. Flow Meter

3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year?
● Yes Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2020-08-19

○ No

If No, please explain:

4. Sewer Use Ordinance

4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences?

● Yes

○ No

If No, please explain:

4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance?

○ Yes

● No

If Yes, please explain:

5. Septage Receiving

5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility?

Septic Tanks

Holding Tanks

Grease Traps

● Yes

● Yes

○ Yes

○ No

○ No

● No

5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons.

Septic Tanks

● Yes

177,370

gallons

○ No

Holding Tanks

● Yes

1,861,187

gallons

○ No

Grease Traps

○ Yes

gallons

● No

5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes.

Plant performance was not affected by any of these.

6. Pretreatment

6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year?

○ Yes

● No

If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p>If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>	
--	--

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit > 10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	10	10	0	1	0	0
February	10	10	0	1	0	0
March	10	10	0	1	0	0
April	10	10	0	1	0	0
May	5	5	0	1	0	0
June	5	5	0	1	0	0
July	5	5	0	1	0	0
August	5	5	0	1	0	0
September	5	5	0	1	0	0
October	5	5	0	1	0	0
November	10	10	0	1	0	0
December	10	10	0	1	0	0

* Equals limit if limit is <= 10

Months of discharge/yr	12		
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge		7	3
Exceedances		0	0
Points		0	0
Total number of points			0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is $12/6 = 2.0$

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

n/a

2. Flow Meter Calibration

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

- Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2020-08-19

- No

If No, please explain:

3. Treatment Problems

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

n/a

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?

- Yes
- No

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

<p>If Yes, please explain:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>
<p>4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes<input type="radio"/> No <p>If Yes, please explain:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">We failed our intial test, but passed both retests.</div>
<p>4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="radio"/> Yes<input type="radio"/> No<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A <p>Please explain unless not applicable:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">We did not find anything out of the ordinary, (chloride, metals, etc.) that would have caused us to fail. ran both retests and passed.</div>

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	10	10	0	1	0	0
February	10	10	0	1	0	0
March	10	10	0	1	0	0
April	10	10	0	1	0	0
May	10	10	0	1	0	0
June	10	10	0	1	0	0
July	10	10	0	1	0	0
August	10	10	0	1	0	0
September	10	10	0	1	0	0
October	10	10	0	1	0	0
November	10	10	0	1	0	0
December	10	10	0	1	0	0

* Equals limit if limit is <= 10

Months of Discharge/yr	12		
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge:	7	3	
Exceedances	0	0	
Points	0	0	
Total Number of Points		0	

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is $12/6 = 2.0$

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

n/a

0

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average NH3 Limit (mg/L)	Weekly Average NH3 Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average NH3 (mg/L)	Monthly Permit Limit Exceedance	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 1	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 2	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 3	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 4	Weekly Permit Limit Exceedance
January	4.3		0	0					
February	4.3		0	0					
March	4.3		0	0					
April	2.7		0	0					
May	1.2		0	0					
June	1.2		0	0					
July	1.2		0	0					
August	1.2		0	0					
September	1.2		0	0					
October	3.8		0	0					
November	4.3		0	0					
December	4.3		0	0					
Points per each exceedance of Monthly average:									10
Exceedances, Monthly:									0
Points:									0
Points per each exceedance of weekly average (when there is no monthly average):									2.5
Exceedances, Weekly:									0
Points:									0
Total Number of Points									0

0

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points.
1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

n/a

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance
January	.6	0.050	1	0
February	.6	0.050	1	0
March	.6	0.060	1	0
April	.6	0.050	1	0
May	.6	0.050	1	0
June	.6	0.050	1	0
July	.6	0.050	1	0
August	.6	0.050	1	0
September	.6	0.050	1	0
October	.225	0.050	1	0
November	.225	0.050	1	0
December	.225	0.050	1	0
Months of Discharge/yr			12	
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge:				10
Exceedances				0
Total Number of Points				0

0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is $12/6 = 2.0$

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

n/a

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

Biosolids Quality and Management

1. Biosolids Use/Disposal

1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)

- Land applied under your permit
- Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids
- Hauled to another permitted facility
- Landfilled
- Incinerated
- Other

NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc.

1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:

2. Land Application Site

2.1 Last Year's Approved and Active Land Application Sites

2.1.1 How many acres did you have?

1813.60 acres

2.1.2 How many acres did you use?

acres

2.2 If you did not have enough acres for your land application needs, what action was taken?

2.3 Did you overapply nitrogen on any of your approved land application sites you used last year?

Yes (30 points)

No

2.4 Have all the sites you used last year for land application been soil tested in the previous 4 years?

Yes

No (10 points)

N/A

0

3. Biosolids Metals

Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit:

3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year.

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

0 (0 Points)

1-2 (10 Points)

> 2 (15 Points)

3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)

Yes

No (10 points)

N/A - Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)

N/A - Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)

3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0

Exceedence Points

0 (0 Points)

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

<p>○ 1 (10 Points) ○ > 1 (15 Points) 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit? ○ Yes (20 Points) ● No (0 Points) 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified? <input type="text" value="n/a"/></p>	0
<p>4. Pathogen Control (per outfall): 4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu. 4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application. 4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application? ○ Yes (40 Points) ● No If yes, what action was taken? <input type="text"/></p>	0
<p>5. Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall): 5.1 Verify the following information. If any of the information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu. 5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application? ○ Yes (40 Points) ● No If yes, what action was taken? <input type="text"/></p>	0
<p>6. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site? ● >= 180 days (0 Points) ○ 150 - 179 days (10 Points) ○ 120 - 149 days (20 Points) ○ 90 - 119 days (30 Points) ○ < 90 days (40 Points) ○ N/A (0 Points) 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why. <input type="text"/></p>	0
<p>7. Issues 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management: <input type="text" value="None"/></p>	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

<p>1. Plant Staffing</p> <p>1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Yes○ No <p>If No, please explain:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p>Could use more help/staff for:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">could always use more help but I feel we are adequately staffed at this time.</div> <p>1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Yes○ No <p>If No, please explain:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>	
<p>2. Preventative Maintenance</p> <p>2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Yes (Continue with question 2) <input type="checkbox"/><input type="checkbox"/>○ No (40 points) <input type="checkbox"/><input type="checkbox"/> <p>If No, please explain, then go to question 3:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p>2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Yes○ No (10 points) <p>2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Yes<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Paper file system○ Computer system● Both paper and computer system○ No (10 points)	0
<p>3. O&M Manual</p> <p>3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Yes○ No	
<p>4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs</p> <p>4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Excellent● Very good○ Good○ Fair○ Poor <p>Describe your rating:</p>	

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

staff does an excellent job on plant & collection system maintenance but there is always room for improvement.
--

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

Operator Certification and Education

1. Operator-In-Charge

1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year?

- Yes (0 points)
- No (20 points)

Name:

DAVID R PIQUETT

Certification No:

31568

0

2. Certification Requirements

2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.56 and 114.57, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what level and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge (OIC) to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what level and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?

Sub Class	SubClass Description	WWTP		OIC	
		Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	X			X
A2	Attached Growth Processes		X		
A3	Recirculating Media Filters				
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural				
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid				
B	Solids Separation	X			X
C	Biological Solids/Sludges	X			X
P	Total Phosphorus	X			X
N	Total Nitrogen				
D	Disinfection	X			X
L	Laboratory	X			X
U	Unique Treatment Systems				
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	X	X	NA	NA

0

2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS is required 5 years after permit reissuance and is basic level only.)

- Yes (0 points)
- No (20 points)

3. Succession Planning

3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?

- One or more additional certified operators on staff
- An arrangement with another certified operator
- An arrangement with another community with a certified operator
- An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year
- A consultant to serve as your certified operator
- None of the above (20 points)

If "None of the above" is selected, please explain:

0

4. Continuing Education Credits

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates?

OIT and Basic Certification:

- Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.
- Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.

Advanced Certification:

- Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.
- Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

Financial Management

1. Provider of Financial Information Name: <input type="text" value="Dawn Timm"/> Telephone: <input type="text" value="262-673-8203"/> (XXX) XXX-XXXX E-Mail Address (optional): <input type="text"/>		
2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system ? ● Yes (0 points) <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> ○ No (40 points) If No, please explain: <input type="text"/> 2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised? Year: <input type="text" value="2020"/> ● 0-2 years ago (0 points) <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> ○ 3 or more years ago (20 points) <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> ○ N/A (private facility) 2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWF required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system? ● Yes (0 points) ○ No (40 points)		0
REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]		
3. Equipment Replacement Funds 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised? Year: <input type="text" value="2020"/> ● 1-2 years ago (0 points) <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> ○ 3 or more years ago (20 points) <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> ○ N/A If N/A, please explain: <input type="text"/>		
3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity		
3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR	\$ <input type="text" value="615,546.49"/>	
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)	\$ <input type="text" value="0.00"/>	
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance	\$ <input type="text" value="615,546.49"/>	
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.)	\$ <input type="text" value="0.00"/>	
	+	

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*)

- \$ 0.00

3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year

\$ 615,546.49

All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.

3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.

3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$ 369,182.00

0

Please note: If you had a CWFPP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstructions link under Info header in the left-side menu.

3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?

- Yes
- No

If No, please explain.

4. Future Planning

4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?

- Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below.
- No

Project #	Project Description	Estimated Cost	Approximate Construction Year
1	CIPP Lining rehabilitation of 1 mile of a 42 inch interceptor sewer	1800000	2021

5. Financial Management General Comments

The utility has a sufficient fund balance for all equipment replacement and yearly Capital expenditures without having to use emergency equipment replacement fund.

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE

6. Collection System

6.1 Energy Usage

6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed

Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations:

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	15,172	45
February	15,015	43
March	14,044	38
April	13,454	31
May	11,158	39
June	9,196	31
July	8,532	39
August	8,694	37
September	8,508	32
October	10,301	33
November	11,852	44
December	14,470	43
Total	140,396	455
Average	11,700	38

6.1.2 Comments:

6.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment

6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):

- Comminution or Screening
- Extended Shaft Pumps
- Flow Metering and Recording
- Pneumatic Pumping
- SCADA System
- Self-Priming Pumps
- Submersible Pumps
- Variable Speed Drives
- Other:

6.2.2 Comments:

6.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?

No

Yes

Year:

By Whom:

Describe and Comment:

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

6.4 Future Energy Related Equipment

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

When upgrading lift stations, would go with VFD's energy efficient motors and possibly, some solar energy.

7. Treatment Facility

7.1 Energy Usage

7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	120,607	65.22	1,849	50.78	2,375	4,314
February	115,278	57.19	2,016	51.88	2,222	3,734
March	122,578	77.97	1,572	53.32	2,299	2,653
April	128,373	67.25	1,909	80.61	1,593	2,163
May	138,184	68.97	2,004	63.71	2,169	466
June	136,699	61.25	2,232	47.85	2,857	36
July	148,008	65.75	2,251	75.36	1,964	20
August	141,598	63.45	2,232	82.37	1,719	23
September	134,672	58.23	2,313	69.12	1,948	142
October	117,018	57.68	2,029	75.49	1,550	1,441
November	111,786	53.00	2,109	74.91	1,492	2,549
December	119,590	54.12	2,210	65.91	1,814	4,403
Total	1,534,391	750.08		791.31		21,944
Average	127,866	62.51	2,061	65.94	2,000	1,829

7.1.2 Comments:

7.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment

7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):

- Aerobic Digestion
- Anaerobic Digestion
- Biological Phosphorus Removal
- Coarse Bubble Diffusers
- Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
- Effluent Pumping
- Fine Bubble Diffusers
- Influent Pumping
- Mechanical Sludge Processing
- Nitrification
- SCADA System
- UV Disinfection
- Variable Speed Drives

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 2020

Other:

7.2.2 Comments:

7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment

7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility?

Taking a serious look at solar if payback is there.

8. Biogas Generation

8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?

No

Yes

If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):

Flared Off

Building Heat

Process Heat

Generate Electricity

Other:

9. Energy Efficiency Study

9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?

No

Yes

Entire facility

Year:

By Whom:

Describe and Comment:

Part of the facility

Year:

By Whom:

Describe and Comment:

Did an upgrade on our oxidation ditch converting motors and starters to energy efficient motors and VFD's.

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 2020

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

1. Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program

1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?

- Yes
- No

If No, explain:

1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?

- Yes
- No (30 points)
- N/A

If No or N/A, explain:

1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply)

- Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]

Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:

To stay on course to have entire collection system (96 miles) televised within 6 years. Aprox 15.8 miles per year. Be able to fix all identified mainline and manhole issues identified during televising. Continue growing private property incentive program. Continue to try and educate public on non-flushable items in the sewer.

Did you accomplish them?

- Yes
- No

If No, explain:

- Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]

Does this chapter of your CMOM include:

- Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
- Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
- Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public

- Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]

What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?

Sewer Use Ordinance

If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2018-03-03

Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following:

- Private property inflow and infiltration
- New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
- Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
- Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as necessary
- Fat, oil and grease control
- Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance

- Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]

Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:

- Equipment and replacement part inventories

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

Up-to-date sewer system map
 A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation
 A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (see question 2 below)
 Capacity assessment program
 Basement back assessment and correction
 Regular O&M training
 Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)]
 What standards and procedures are established for the design, construction, and inspection of the sewer collection system, including building sewers and interceptor sewers on private property?
 State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Municipal Code Requirements
 Construction, Inspection, and Testing
 Others:

Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)] **0**
 Does your emergency response capability include:
 Responsible personnel communication procedures
 Response order, timing and clean-up
 Public notification protocols
 Training
 Emergency operation protocols and implementation procedures
 Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210.23 (5)]
 Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply):
 Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis
 Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES)
 Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Management Plan (SECAP)
 Lift Station Evaluation Report
 Others:

2. Operation and Maintenance

2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained.

Cleaning	<input type="text" value="25"/>	% of system/year
Root removal	<input type="text" value="20"/>	% of system/year
Flow monitoring	<input type="text" value="100"/>	% of system/year
Smoke testing	<input type="text" value="0"/>	% of system/year
Sewer line televising	<input type="text" value="20"/>	% of system/year
Manhole inspections	<input type="text" value="30"/>	% of system/year
Lift station O&M	<input type="text" value="14"/>	# per L.S./year
Manhole rehabilitation	<input type="text" value="10"/>	% of manholes rehabbed
Mainline rehabilitation	<input type="text" value="10"/>	% of sewer lines rehabbed
Private sewer inspections		

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

Private sewer I/I removal	<input type="text" value="10"/>	% of system/year
River or water crossings	<input type="text" value="3"/>	% of private services
	<input type="text" value="100"/>	% of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained
Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below:		
<input type="text" value="Cleaning and manhole inspections were down from previous due to covid risk and restrictions. did emergency only cleaning from April through June 2020."/>		

3. Performance Indicators

3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year.

<input type="text" value="33.64"/>	Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches
<input type="text" value="32.87"/>	Annual average precipitation (for your location)
<input type="text" value="95.3"/>	Miles of sanitary sewer
<input type="text" value="14"/>	Number of lift stations
<input type="text" value="0"/>	Number of lift station failures
<input type="text" value="0"/>	Number of sewer pipe failures
<input type="text" value="0"/>	Number of basement backup occurrences
<input type="text" value="0"/>	Number of complaints
<input type="text" value="2.4"/>	Average daily flow in MGD (if available)
<input type="text" value="3.2"/>	Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)
<input type="text"/>	Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)

3.2 Performance ratios for the past year:

<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	Lift station failures (failures/year)
<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)
<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)
<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	Basement backups (number/sewer mile)
<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	Complaints (number/sewer mile)
<input type="text" value="1.3"/>	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily Avg)
<input type="text" value="0.0"/>	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Daily Avg)

4. Overflows

LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED **

Date	Location	Cause	Estimated Volume
None reported			

** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected.

5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)

5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year?

- Yes
- No

If Yes, please describe:

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?

Yes

No

If Yes, please describe:

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

Replaced and additional 6 private property clay laterals that had severe infiltration issues. continued grouting manholes and did chimney replacements on structures identified to have I&I during yearly televising.

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

What is mentioned above. Also in 2021, Lining and manhole rehab of the City largest pipe (42 concrete interceptor) that was over 50 years old and had severe I&I issues.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0020192

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	A	4	3	12
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40
TSS	A	4	5	20
Ammonia	A	4	5	20
Phosphorus	A	4	3	12
Biosolids	A	4	5	20
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4
OpCert	A	4	1	4
Financial	A	4	1	4
Collection	A	4	3	12
TOTALS			37	148
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 4.00				

Notes:

- A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)
- B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)
- C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)
- D = Action Range (Response Required)
- F = Action Range (Response Required)

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/18/2021 **2020**

Resolution or Owner's Statement

Name of Governing
Body or Owner:

City of Hartford

Date of Resolution or
Action Taken:

2021-06-08

Resolution Number:

3592

Date of Submittal:

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F):

Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A

Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A

Staffing: Grade = A

Operator Certification: Grade = A

Financial Management: Grade = A

Collection Systems: Grade = A

(Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS

(Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)

G.P.A. = 4.00